IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA,THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT APPEAL NO : 1376 of 2004

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 07/07/2004 in WP NO : 9985 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

Andhra Pradesh Beedi Workers Union, Regd. No. B-266, Branch Nizamabad, H.O. Nizamabad, rep by its General Secretary, V. Krishna, S/o. Mallaiah, aged 50 Years, R/o. Shramika Bhavan,
Kota Galli, Nizamabad.

..... APPELLANT

AND

The Regional Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund,
Sub-Regional Office, Nizamabad. Nizamabad District.

.....RESPONDENT

Counsel for the Appellant:MR.M.RAJAMALLA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.R.N.REDDY (SC FOR EMPLOYEES PF ORG.)

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER: (per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice)

There is hardly any ground to interfere in this appeal with the impugned order passed by learned single Judge, which virtually allows the writ petition filed by the appellant.

Appellant is a beedi workers' union and grievance is that the personal details in Form 9 appended to the Employees Provident Fund Scheme, 1952 have not been properly filled up by the employer and there are incorrect details which have crept in as regards name, surname, age and other particulars. Appellant therefore, wanted directions to be issued to respondent to allot National Social Security Number to the beedi workers with correct names, surnames, ages etc. Learned single Judge, as a matter of fact, directed that in case any worker feels that his/her details have not correctly been noted in Form No.9, it shall be open for him/her to submit suitable representation to the respondent. Learned single Judge also took on record the submission of the learned counsel for the respondent that as and when such representation is made, it shall be duly considered and appropriate corrections would be made.

Needless to add that details in Form No.9 will be supplied by the employer and obviously some times because of illiteracy of the workers, the details may not be correct. In case any worker feels that the details are not correct, he/she can request the employer or even the respondent to enter the correct particulars provided he/she produces record to justify that the entries are not correct.

With these observations, the writ appeal stands disposed of.

DEVINDER GUPTA, CJ

13-9-2004 _____

C.V.RAMULU, J

tvr

To

1. The Regional Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund,
   Sub-Regional Office, Nizamabad. Nizamabad District.

2. 2 CD copies.